arXiv:1407.7637v1 [math.AG] 29 Jul 2014

SIX GENERATED ACM BUNDLE ON A HYPERSURFACE IS SPLIT

AMIT TRIPATHI

Abstract. Let X be a smooth projective hypersurface. In this note we show that any six generated arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay vector bundle over X splits if $\dim X \geq 6$.

1. Introduction

We work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface. We say that a vector bundle E on X is split if it is a direct sum of line bundles on X. We say that a vector bundle is k-generated if k is the smallest integer such that there exists a surjection $\oplus_{i=1}^{k}\mathcal{O}_X(a_i) \twoheadrightarrow E$. A convenient notation for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X is:

$$H^i_*(X,\mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} H^i(X,\mathcal{F}(m))$$

We say a bundle is *split* if it is a direct sum of line bundles. An *arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay* (ACM) bundle on X is a vector bundle E satisfying

$$H^i(X,E(m)) = 0,\ \forall\, m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 < i < \dim X$$

This definition is equivalent to saying that $\Gamma_*(X,E)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay as S_X-module where S_X is the graded ring corresponding to X.

A split bundle on a hypersurface or a projective space is obviously an ACM bundle. A theorem of Horrocks [12] tells that over projective spaces, the converse is also true - A vector bundle on a projective space splits if and only if it is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundle. One can ask if Horrock's criterion is true for arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles over hypersurfaces?

The answer is no as there are examples of indecomposable arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles over hypersurfaces (see [15] or [17]). Though there is a conjecture in this direction:

Conjecture (Buchweitz, Greuel and Schreyer [2]*):* Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hypersurface. Let E be an ACM bundle on X. If rank $E < 2^e$, where $e = \left[\dfrac{n-2}{2}\right]$, then E splits. (Here $[q]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq q$.) □

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Vector bundles, hypersurfaces, arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

This work was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from National Board for Higher Mathematics.

This conjecture can not be strengthened further, as there exists indecomposable arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles of rank 2^e on such hypersurfaces for all degrees (see the construction in [2]).

For low degree cases, we refer the reader to [14] for $d = 2$ and to [4] for the case of $d = 3$ surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For rank 2 on a general hypersurface of low degree in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and $\mathbb{P}^5$ we suggest [5], [6], [7].

For general hypersurfaces, Sawada [19] found a sufficient condition for a arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundle to split depending upon the dimension as well as the degree of the hypersurface and rank of the vector bundle. His method uses matrix factorization (see Eisenbud [9] for a background).

Rank two case is understood fairly well. A rank 2 arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundle on a hypersurface X splits if:

(1) $\dim(X) \geq 5$ (see [13] and [15]).
(2) $\dim(X) = 4$ and X is general hypersurface and $d \geq 3$ (see [15] and [18]).
(3) $\dim(X) = 3$ and X is general hypersurface and $d \geq 6$ (see [16] and [18]).

In a previous work [20], we showed that any rank 3 (resp. rank 4) ACM bundle over a hypersurface of $\dim(X) \geq 7$ (resp. $\dim(X) \geq 9$) is split. Our method employed a cohomological chase over a Koszul complex. In this note, we find an improvement for six generated ACM bundles,

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be any ACM bundle on a smooth hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ where $n \geq 6$. If E is six generated then E is a split bundle.*

2. Preliminaries

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$. Let E be a rank r ACM bundle on X which is k-generated. The following facts are well known and will be used several times. The proofs for the same can be found (for instance) in [20] (section 2).

- There exist split bundles $\widetilde{F_1}, \widetilde{F_0}$ of rank k on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and a minimal resolution of E on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$,

$$0 \to \widetilde{F_1} \to \widetilde{F_0} \to E \to 0$$

- Restricting the above resolution to X gives,

$$0 \to G \to F_0 \to E \to 0 \tag{1}$$

$$0 \to E(-d) \to F_1 \to G \to 0 \tag{2}$$

where F_1, F_0 are split bundles over X of rank k and G is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundle.

Let k be a positive integer. For any sequence $0 \to \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2 \to 0$ of vector bundles on a projective variety Z, there exists a resolution of k-th exterior power of $\mathcal{F}_2$,

$$0 \to Sym^k(\mathcal{F}_0) \to \cdots \to Sym^{k-i}(\mathcal{F}_0) \otimes \wedge^i \mathcal{F}_1 \to \cdots \to \wedge^k \mathcal{F}_1 \to \wedge^k \mathcal{F}_2 \to 0$$

In [20] we have called this the $Sym - \wedge$ *sequence of index* k [1] associated to the given short exact sequence. Similarly there exists a resolution of k-th symmetric power of $\mathcal{F}_2$ which we denoted as $\wedge - Sym$ *sequence of index* k associated to the given sequence.

$$0 \to \wedge^k(\mathcal{F}_0) \to \cdots \to \wedge^{k-i}(\mathcal{F}_0) \otimes Sym^i \mathcal{F}_1 \to \cdots Sym^k \mathcal{F}_1 \to Sym^k \mathcal{F}_2 \to 0$$

For details we refer to [3] and references provided therein.

Following lemma is from [20]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be any bundle (not necessarily ACM) on a hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 3$. Assume further that $H^1_*(X, E^\vee) = 0$. Let the exact sequence $0 \to G \to F_0 \to E \to 0$ be a minimal (1-step) resolution of E on X. If G admits a line bundle as a direct summand, then E is split.*

3. Proof of the theorem

Proof of theorem 1.1. : Let E be a six generated arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundle on X where $\dim(X) \geq 6$. We take a minimal (1-step) resolution of E on X:

$$0 \longrightarrow G \to F_0 \to E \to 0$$

By assumption $\mathrm{rk}(F_0) = 6$ therefore $\mathrm{rk}(E) \leq 6$. The following cases are easily resolved:

(1) If $\mathrm{rk}(E) = 6$ then $E \cong F_0$ is split.
(2) If $\mathrm{rk}(E) = 5$ then G is a line bundle whence by lemma 2.1 E splits.
(3) If $\mathrm{rk}(E) = 4$ then G splits as it is then an ACM bundle of rank 2 on X (see [15]) whence E splits (again by lemma 2.1).
(4) If $\mathrm{rk}(E) = 2$ then splitting is by results from [15].

This leaves the case $\mathrm{rk}(E) = 3$ open. G (an ACM bundle) is also of rank 3 for this case. We have a minimal resolution of E on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$:

$$0 \to \widetilde{F_1} \to \widetilde{F_0} \to E \to 0$$

Taking exterior product, we get

$$0 \to \wedge^3 \widetilde{F_1} \to \wedge^3 \widetilde{F_0} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf with support on X. It can be verified that $\mathcal{F}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay sheaf which means that it is (infact) an ACM vector bundle on X as X is smooth. Restricting the above sequence to X gives:

$$0 \to Tor^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}) \to \wedge^3 F_1 \to \wedge^3 F_0 \to \mathcal{F} \to 0 \tag{3}$$

[1] We were unable to find any standard terminology in the literature for the given resolution.

To compute the *Tor* term, we tensor the following sequence by $\mathcal{F}$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-d) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0$$

to get $Tor^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(-d)$. Thus we get the sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}(-d) \to \wedge^3 F_1 \to \wedge^3 F_0 \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

The map $F_1 \to F_0$ factors via G (see section 2). By functoriality of exterior product, the map $\wedge^3 F_1 \to \wedge^3 F_0$ will factor via $\wedge^3 G$. Thus the sequence above breaks up into 2 short exact sequences:

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}(-d) \to \wedge^3 F_1 \to \wedge^3 G \to 0 \tag{4}$$

$$0 \to \wedge^3 G \to \wedge^3 F_0 \to \mathcal{F} \to 0 \tag{5}$$

Above sequences along with the fact that G is rank 3 and F_1, F_0 are split bundles imply that $\mathcal{F}$ is a split bundle - for example by verifying that $H^1_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ which implies that equation (4) splits.

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \text{kernel}(\wedge^3 F_0 \twoheadrightarrow \wedge^3 E)$. We have the following pullback diagram:

(6)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^3 G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^3 G & \longrightarrow & \wedge^3 F_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \wedge^3 E & = & \wedge^3 E & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Here the map $\wedge^3 G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$ is coming from the filtration diagram for $\wedge^3 F_0$ as induced by the sequence $0 \to G \to F_0 \to E \to 0$ and $\mathcal{E} = \text{coker}(\wedge^3 G \to \mathcal{F}_1)$.

The above diagram (and the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is split) will imply that $\mathcal{E}$ is split which in turn means that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is a split bundle. Lemma 3.1 (below) will now imply that E is split. □

We complete the proof of the above theorem with following lemma which uses similar form of cohomological chase as done in [20]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be an ACM vector bundle of rank 3 on a hypersurface X of dimension ≥ 6. Let G, F_0 denote the vector bundles on X coming from the 1-step resolution of E as in sequence* (1)*. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = kernel(\wedge^3 F_0 \twoheadrightarrow \wedge^3 E)$ (as assumed in the proof of theorem 1.1). If $\mathcal{F}_1$ is split then E is split.*

Proof. Consider the following $\wedge - Sym$ sequence for index 3 associated with sequence (2):

$$0 \to \wedge^3 E(-d) \to \wedge^2 E(-d) \otimes F_1 \to E(-d) \otimes Sym^2 F_1 \to Sym^3 F_1 \to Sym^3 G \to 0$$

Breaking it into short exact sequences and using the fact that as E is rank 3 therefore $\wedge^3 E(-d)$, $\wedge^2 E(-d)$, $E(-d)$ are all arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundle and $Sym^i F_1$ is a split bundle for all i, we get

$$H^i_*(Sym^3 G) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \ldots, \dim(X) - 4$$

Similarly we write the $\wedge - Sym$ sequence for index 2:

$$0 \to \wedge^2 E(-d) \to E(-d) \otimes F_1 \to Sym^2 F_1 \to Sym^2 G \to 0$$

which gives $H^i_*(Sym^2 G) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2 \ldots, \dim(X) - 3$.

Now we write the $Sym - \wedge$ sequence for index 3 for the short exact sequence (1):

$$0 \to Sym^3 G \to Sym^2 G \otimes F_0 \to G \otimes \wedge^2 F_0 \to \wedge^3 F_0 \to \wedge^3 E \to 0$$

Breaking it up we get $0 \to Sym^3 G \to Sym^2 G \otimes F_0 \to J_1 \to 0$. The vanishing results for cohomologies of $Sym^3 G$, $Sym^2 G$ implies that if $\dim(X) \geq 6$ then $H^1_*(X, J_1) = 0$. Now J_1 further fits into the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to J_1 \to G \otimes \wedge^2 F_1 \to \mathcal{F}_1 \to 0 \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1$ is split by assumption. Therefore (7) is a split sequence and hence G admits a line bundle as a direct summand. Lemma 2.1 tells us that E is split. □

References

[1] Michael F. Atiyah, *On the Krull-Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves*, Bulletin de la S.M.F., tome 84 (1956), 307-317.

[2] R.-O. Buchweitz, G.-M. Greuel, and F.-O. Schreyer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II*, Inv. Math. 88 (1987), 165-182.

[3] Chris Brav (http://mathoverflow.net/users/4659/chris-brav), *How to resolve a wedge product of vector bundles*, URL (version: 2010-10-13): http://mathoverflow.net/q/41990

[4] M. Casanellas and R. Hartshorne, *ACM bundles on cubic surfaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 13 (2011), 709-731.

[5] L. Chiantini and C. Madonna, *ACM bundles on a general quintic threefold*, Matematiche (Catania) 55(2000), no. 2 (2002), 239-258.

[6] L. Chiantini and C. Madonna, *A splitting criterion for rank 2 bundles on a general sextic threefold*, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 4, 341-359.

[7] L. Chiantini and C. Madonna, *ACM bundles on a general hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ of low degree*, Collect. Math. 56 (2005), no. 1, 85-96.

[8] [SGA7II] P. Deligne, N. Katz, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie - 1967-1969. Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II,* LNM 340 (1973), Springer-Verlag .

[9] D. Eisenbud, *Homological algebra on a complete intersection*, Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 260, No. 1 (1980), 35-64.

[10] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1995).

[11] R. Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, LNM 156, Springer-Verlag (1970).

[12] G. Horrocks, *Vector bundles on the punctured spectrum of a local ring*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 14 (1964), 689-713.

[13] H. Kleppe, *Deformation of schemes defined by vanishing of pfaffians*, Jour. of algebra 53 (1978), 84-92.

[14] H. Knörrer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities I*, Inv. Math. 88 (1987), 153-164.

[15] N. Mohan Kumar, A.P. Rao and G.V. Ravindra, *Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on hypersurfaces*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 82 (2007), No. 4, 829–843.

[16] N. Mohan Kumar, A.P. Rao and G.V. Ravindra, *Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on three dimensional hypersurfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), No. 8, Art. ID rnm025, 11pp.
[17] N. Mohan Kumar, A.P. Rao and G.V Ravindra, *On codimension two subvarieties in hypersurfaces*, Motives and Algebraic Cycles: A Celebration in honour of Spencer Bloch, Fields Institute Communications vol. 56, 167–174, eds. Rob de Jeu and James Lewis.
[18] G.V Ravindra, *Curves on threefolds and a conjecture of Griffiths-Harris*, Math. Ann. 345 (2009), 731-748.
[19] T. Sawada, *A sufficient condition for splitting of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on general hypersurfaces*, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), no. 5, 1633-1639.
[20] A. Tripathi, *Splitting of low rank ACM bundles on hypersurfaces of high dimension*, arXiv:1304.2135 [math.AG]

Department of Mathematics, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore - 560059, India

E-mail address: amittr@gmail.com